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ABSTRACT

PROJECT TITLE: A Class III Cultural Resource Survey for a Proposed Buried Telecommunications Fiber-Optic Line in Happy Valley, Shasta County, California

LAND STATUS: Shasta County

AGENCY: California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Class III cultural resource survey of approximately 24.6 linear km (15.3 linear miles) was conducted in anticipation of a proposed buried fiber-optic telecommunications line installation.

TIERRA PROJECT NO.: 14T0-133

TIERRA REPORT NO.: 2015-021

DATES OF FIELDWORK: February 24–26, 2015

PROJECT LOCATION: The project area is located in Sections 27, 34, and 35 of Township 31 North, Range 6 West; Sections 1 and 2 of Township 30 North, Range 6 West; and Sections 5–11, 14–17, 19–24, 26, and 27 of Township 30 North, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Meridian, on the Igo (1979), Ono (1981), and Olinda (1964, photoinspected 1976) 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey quadrangles, in Shasta County, California.

AREA SURVEYED: Approximately 182.3 acres (73.8 ha)

NO. OF SITES RECOMMENDED AS NRHP ELIGIBLE: 0

NO. OF SITES RECOMMENDED AS NRHP INELIGIBLE: 1

NO. OF ISOLATED OCCURRENCES: 10

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS: One previously recorded site, 1 historic building, 10 isolated occurrences, and 1 historic cemetery were encountered during the current survey. The site consists of a historic ditch, the Happy Valley Ditch (CA-SHA-3382H). The historic building consists of the Igo
The fiber line will be rerouted from its originally planned route to the opposite side of the road across from the Igo Inn. It is anticipated that the ditch and structure will remain unaffected by the proposed construction activities and therefore there will be No Adverse Effect to these resources. Tierra recommends that the proposed undertaking be allowed to proceed; however, a reroute of the buried fiber-optic line is recommended in the vicinity of the Cloverdale Cemetery. TDS Telecommunications Corporation has agreed to reroute the line to the opposite side of the road across from the cemetery. However, if a reroute is not possible, monitoring by a qualified archaeologist is recommended during construction work in the vicinity of the cemetery.

The clients and all subcontractors are reminded that if human remains or funerary objects are uncovered during future ground-disturbing activities, California Environmental Quality Act Statute 15064.5(e) requires that all work must be stopped in the area of discovery and that the coroner of the County in which the remains are discovered be contacted to determine that no investigation into the cause of death is required. If the coroner determines the remains to be Native American, notification will be sent to the Native American Heritage Commission, which will identify the person or persons it believes to be the most likely descendants of the deceased Native American. The most likely descendent may make recommendations to the landowner or the person responsible for the excavation work as to the means of treating or disposing of the human remains and any associated grave goods with appropriate dignity, as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.
INTRODUCTION
At the request of Nate Stanislawski of TDS Telecommunications Corporation (TDS), Tierra Right of Way Services, Ltd. (Tierra), performed a Class III cultural resource survey of approximately 24.6 linear km (15.3 linear miles) in anticipation of the installation of buried fiber-optic telecommunications lines and seven nodes between the towns of Igo and Olinda, in Shasta County, California. TDS is proposing to upgrade their existing network using California Advanced Services Fund funds. Because the project is a public utility, it falls under the regulation of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). Because the fiber-optic project is being permitted through the CPUC, the survey was conducted according to the environmental permitting guidelines for cultural resources mandated by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code 21000–21177).

The survey was conducted by Ben Curry (field director) and Chance Copperstone, M.A. (field technician), on February 24–26, 2015. A total of approximately 4.75 person-field-days was required to complete the survey. Barbara K. Montgomery, Ph.D., was principal investigator for the project. Tom Euler served as project manager.

THE PROJECT AREA
The project area consists of approximately 24.6 linear km (15.3 linear miles) of proposed buried utility corridors. Previously installed utilities, in the form of copper telephone cable and other utilities, already exist within the corridors. However, fiber-optic line has not been previously installed in any of the surveyed corridors, and all trenches excavated within the project area will be new. About 7.8 linear km (4.8 linear miles) of the project area already contains buried fiber-optic line and will not be subjected to trenching; except for the locations of two nodes, this portion of the project area was not surveyed. The plow insertion of the fiber-optic lines requires trenches measuring between 0.3 and 0.6 m (1 and 2 feet) in width and approximately 1.2 m (4 feet) in depth. The width of the project area, which corresponds to the surveyed area, was 30 m (98 feet). In total, the project area is approximately 73.8 ha (182.3 acres). The project area is located in Sections 27, 34, and 35 of Township 31 North, Range 6 West; Sections 1 and 2 of Township 30 North, Range 6 West; and Sections 5–11, 14–17, 19–24, 26, and 27 of Township 30 North, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Meridian, on the Igo (1979), Ono (1981), and Olinda (1964, photoinspected 1976) 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangles, in Shasta County, California (Figure 1). The project area is located entirely within Shasta County jurisdiction.
Figure 1. Location of the project area.
The project area is located in Shasta County, in north-central California within the northern portion of the Sacramento valley where the valley meets the Cascade Range. The utility corridors follow existing roads, and most are located between road shoulders and open fields. The major roads paralleled by the project corridor/project area include South Fork Road, Cloverdale Road (Photo 1), Oak Street (Photo 2), China Gulch Drive, Craig Lane, Laverne Lane (Photo 3), Serendipity Lane, Scout Avenue (Photo 4), Olive Street (Photo 5), Palm Avenue (Photo 6), Happy Valley Road (Photo 7), and Treat Avenue (Photo 8). Most of the surface area of the 30-m-wide (98-foot-wide) corridor is covered by asphalt, extends onto private or otherwise fenced-off land, and has been leveled and graded during road construction.

CULTURE HISTORY

Because no prehistoric remains or historic Native American remains were found during the survey, an account of the prehistoric and protohistoric periods of the project area will not be provided here.

Historic Era (A.D. 1848–1940)

The Igo and Ono mining districts are two adjacent districts named after their respective towns (Igo is about 6.4 km (4 miles) east of Ono) in southwestern Shasta County, about 24 km (15 miles) southwest of Redding. Placer mining in the area began soon after the California Gold Rush, which commenced in earnest following the discovery of gold at Sutter’s Mill in 1848 and had ended by 1855. From the 1860s through the 1880s, hydraulic and drift mining was highly productive, particularly at the Hardscrabble and Russell mines near Igo. Many Chinese miners were here during this period, and one local tradition has it that the names “Igo” and “Ono” derive from the pidgin expressions “I go?” and “oh no!” spoken by the Chinese when they were told to move on (Vaughan 2002b:6).

Reading’s Bar, a sand bar landform at the mouth of the canyon of Clear Creek associated with Major Pierson B. Reading (not to be confused with Benjamin B. Redding, a railroad man after whom the town of Redding was named), was the location of the first gold discovery in Shasta County. The settlement that grew up at the location eventually became known as Horsetown (Southwest Shasta Historical Group 2011). It was claimed that as many as 52 ounces of gold were recovered per day (Amy Huberland, personal communication December 18, 2014). Large-scale mining operations in the region began in 1851. Although a number of Chinese were present in Shasta County in the 1850s, the influx of Chinese laborers increased in the area around Igo in the 1860s as hydraulic mining and the need for water control grew. Most of the ditches in the area were constructed by Chinese labor. During the 1860s, many Chinese persons accumulated their own capital and started their own mining operations (Ritter 1986:7–8).

The town of Piety Hill, originally established in 1849, was a precursor to the town of Igo. By around 1860, the town had approximately 1,500 residents, roughly 600 of whom were Chinese (Vaughan 2002b:7). As the Hardscrabble Mine steadily increased its operations, Piety Hill was found to be situated in the way of the expanding mine. It was proposed that the town be moved across Conger Gulch, which—in terms of mineral resources—was an unproductive location. The town was relocated in 1866, becoming known as Igo (perhaps named according to the account related above). Some of the houses and buildings, including the structure that is now the Igo Inn (see the Results section, below), were moved to the new location. Many of the Chinese residents stayed behind when the Euro-American population relocated, and by 1888 Piety Hill had become a predominately Chinese settlement (Ritter 1986:9).
Photo 1. Cloverdale Road (with Happy Valley Ditch). View is to the northwest.

Photo 2. Oak Street, from Hawthorn Avenue. View is to the north.
Photo 3. Laverne Lane. View is to the east.

Photo 4. Scout Avenue. View is to the west.
Photo 5. View to the north along Olive Street, from Palm Avenue.

Photo 6. Palm Avenue, from Monte Vista Road. View is to the west.
Photo 7. View to the north along Happy Valley Road, from Coyote Lane (southernmost end of project area).

Photo 8. Treat Avenue. View is to the west.
Elsewhere in the region, the old California Oregon Road near Anderson is a historic landmark. Elias Anderson was one of Shasta County’s first non-Native settlers. In 1856, Elias purchased the American Ranch, and the town of Anderson, a stopping place on the Oregon to California Trail, developed around it (Amy Huberland, personal communication December 18, 2014; Clark 1970).

Mining continued to be the predominant industry in Shasta County until about 1900, by which time the region’s placer deposits had been largely depleted. Settlers and miners turned increasingly to farming and ranching, and many mining settlements were depopulated as people relocated to the Redding area. During the 1930s, there was a resurgence in mining, with power shovels and dragline dredges being the primary technology by which gold was extracted. The dredging produced large amounts of waste material in the form of rocks and sand, which was collected into tailings that visible in the area today (Vaughan 2002b:8).

PREVIOUS RESEARCH

Prior to fieldwork, a Class I records search was performed. The Class I search examined all previously conducted surveys and previously recorded sites and historic properties within a 0.8-km (0.5-mile) buffer zone extending from the project footprint. The Class I research was completed through consultation with the California Historical Resources Information System via the Northeast Information Center (NEIC). The NEIC request was received on December 18, 2014. In addition, a Sacred Lands File request was filed with the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) on December 2, 2014. The NAHC indicated that no known sacred sites or Traditional Cultural Properties are located in the project area. To ensure the protection of archaeological sites and historic properties, previous project and site locations depicted on maps are placed as a detachable appendix at the end of this report (Appendix A). Appendix A has been removed from the client copy of this report, but all agency copies are intact. The results of the Class I search are discussed below.

The Class I search found that 32 surveys have been previously conducted, and 19 sites have been previously recorded within the 0.8-km (0.5-mile) buffer (Tables 1 and 2; see Appendix A, Figures A.1–A.10). Of the 19 sites, 17 are historic, 1 is prehistoric, and 1 has both historic and prehistoric components. In addition, the project area is located in the historic Igo-Ono Gold District (see Culture History section above). Portions of the project area intersect with the Happy Valley Ditch (site CA-SHA-3382H), a historic water conveyance system that parallels Cloverdale Road. Although previously recorded, the ditch will be described in greater detail in the Results section.

During a survey conducted by Coyote & Fox Enterprises (CFE) in 2002, Cloverdale Road served as the eastern boundary of a newly recorded historic mining site, CA-SHA-3373H (Vaughan 2002a:10). The purpose of CFE’s survey was a cultural resource assessment of a property owned by Shasta County for a proposed landfill (Vaughan 2002a:1). The newly recorded site was named the Landfill Mining Complex; it incorporated several previously recorded mining sites, as well as new features. The eastern boundary of the site was defined by the limits of the property rather than by the extent of historic mining features (Vaughan 2002a:12). According to the report map (Vaughan 2002a:3, Figure 2), the easternmost boundary of the project area (and therefore the site) is Cloverdale Road. As such, it incorporates the Happy Valley Ditch, although this segment of the ditch was recorded as a separate site and not incorporated into the Landfill Mining Complex. Although not discussed directly in CFE’s report, the Landfill Mining Complex presumably was associated with the Piety Hill townsite, which was located along Cloverdale Road in the immediate vicinity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No. (NEIC-Report Detail)</th>
<th>Performing Institution</th>
<th>Report Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001743</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004107</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Burcell 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004897</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004916</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Barnes 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005011</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005021</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Ritter 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005066</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2002b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005117</td>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
<td>Ritter 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005449</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006232</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2005a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006313</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2005b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006314</td>
<td>Genesis Society</td>
<td>S. Jensen 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006537</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2006a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006624</td>
<td>North State Resources</td>
<td>North State Resources 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007018</td>
<td>ENPLAN</td>
<td>Dalu 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007071</td>
<td>ENPLAN</td>
<td>Wiant and Tuttle 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007072</td>
<td>Genesis Society</td>
<td>S. Jensen 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008484</td>
<td>Cultural Research Associates</td>
<td>Harrington 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008485</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2006b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008697</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 1993a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009165</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009167</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 1993b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009170</td>
<td>Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Jensen 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009182</td>
<td>ARK II; Jensen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Dotta 1980; Jensen 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009654</td>
<td>EarthTouch</td>
<td>Billat 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010215</td>
<td>Genesis Touch</td>
<td>S. Jensen 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010891</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010961</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011271</td>
<td>Coyote &amp; Fox Enterprises</td>
<td>Vaughan 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011699</td>
<td>North State Resources</td>
<td>Crawford 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012045</td>
<td>ENPLAN</td>
<td>Brown 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012349a</td>
<td>Far Western Anthropological Research Group</td>
<td>Meyer 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a This survey was indicated as being present within the Class I buffer but was not depicted on any maps or in any shapefiles provided by the NEIC.
Table 2. Previously Recorded Sites within a 0.8-km (0.5-Mile) Radius of the Project Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site No. (Smithsonian or Primary Trinomial)</th>
<th>Site Name or Description</th>
<th>Temporal Placement</th>
<th>Register Status/Whose Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-1194</td>
<td>structural remains and stage road alignment</td>
<td>ca. 1910</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-1197</td>
<td>mining ditch and associated mine tailings</td>
<td>ca. 1860s–1880s</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-3373H</td>
<td>Landfill Mining Complex</td>
<td>ca. 1850s–1940s</td>
<td>not eligible/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-3382H</td>
<td>Happy Valley Ditch</td>
<td>possibly 1853–1880</td>
<td>ineligible contributing segment/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4221H</td>
<td>Ditch Fragment 2 (mining ditch segment)</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4231H</td>
<td>Phillip Martin Home Site and similar properties; ACID-NFR Canal (segment); NFR Dredge Mining; flaked stone scatters (one with ground stone)</td>
<td>Home Site component (1894–1912+); Irrigation period (ca. 1917–1920); Mining component (1938–1942); recent (1945–present); Prehistoric period to ca. 2000 years B.P.</td>
<td>not eligible, except segment of ACID canal is a contributor to the canal as a whole under Criteria A and C/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4232H</td>
<td>NFR Olive Tree Home Site</td>
<td>late 1890s</td>
<td>not eligible/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4239H</td>
<td>Pair Mining and Dining</td>
<td>1914–1945; post-1945</td>
<td>not eligible/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4304H</td>
<td>Oak Street (wagon road alignment)</td>
<td>before 1869-present</td>
<td>not eligible/recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-3477</td>
<td>Horsetown-Piety Hill Road Segment</td>
<td>1850s–1870s+</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-3779</td>
<td>Grandell Old House</td>
<td>1930s</td>
<td>not recorded (inferred not eligible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4222</td>
<td>schoolhouse scatter</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not recorded (inferred not eligible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4412</td>
<td>isolated bottle fragment</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4416</td>
<td>pumphouse, walnut trees, refuse deposit</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4580</td>
<td>Cloverdale Cabin</td>
<td>late 19th century</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4581</td>
<td>Canyon Rim Midden</td>
<td>late Prehistoric</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4583</td>
<td>reroute cabin</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4602</td>
<td>NW Section 5 ditches</td>
<td>ca. 1850s–1860s</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-SHA-4629</td>
<td>shack and refuse deposit</td>
<td>Historic (unspecified)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed in the Culture History section above, Piety Hill had become a predominately Chinese settlement by the late 1880s. Today, the Piety Hill townsite has the status of Point of Historical Interest, one of four classifications of historic properties recognized by the California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP); the other classifications are National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) properties, California Historical Landmarks, and California Register properties. For descriptions of these designations and the status of Piety Hill and other historic properties, see the OHP website (OHP 2015). Apparently, the exact extent of Piety Hill has not been defined, although
it is depicted on the north side of what would become Cloverdale Road on a General Land Office (GLO) map from 1876 (see Figure 2.B). It is unknown how much, if any, of Piety Hill was incorporated within the Landfill Mining Complex. Although Cloverdale Road passes by or through the townsite (a historical marker is present at its location), no indication of the townsite was observed in or near the project area, and it was probably located well south of the project area. Because the Piety Hill townsite was not encountered during the survey and may not have existed at all within the project area, no recordings or assessments were made during the current project.

In addition to the Class I records search, three other sources of information were used to locate possible historic properties within the project area and the Class I buffer. First, archival material at the Shasta Historical Society (SHS) in Redding was checked. Tierra archaeologists visited the SHS on February 26, 2015. Relevant information obtained at the SHS is presented in the Results section, below.

The second source of information was the NRHP online database, which lists individual historic properties and historic districts included on the NRHP. No listed properties or districts are present in the project area or within the Class I buffer.

Finally, GLO maps were checked for historic properties that were once—and may still be—present in the project area (Figures 2.A–2.J). Maps for Township 31 North, Range 6 West, dated June 16, 1876; Township 30 North, Range 6 West, dated June 5, 1857; Township 30 North, Range 5 West, dated January 19, 1870; and Township 30 North, Range 4 West, dated January 19, 1870, were reviewed. A few properties are indicated as being present within the 0.8-km (0.5-mile) Class I buffer, most of which are discussed elsewhere in this report (e.g., the Piety Hill townsite). According to the maps, the project area itself does not encroach on any historic properties other than roads.

**SURVEY EXPECTATIONS**

Because the project area was known to follow road shoulders, and because previously existing buried utilities (particularly copper telephone cable) were known to be present in the road rights-of-way, surface indications of archaeological sites were not expected. The presence of isolated occurrences (IOs) and historic structures such as waterways and possibly buildings was thought to be more likely.

**SURVEY METHODS**

The survey was conducted in accordance with standards established by the BLM for pedestrian surveys. According to these standards, 100 percent coverage of an area can be claimed if the entire area is surveyed by crews walking transects spaced no more than 15 m (50 feet) apart. The current project corridors were a width of 29.9 m (98 feet) and therefore could be surveyed in compliance with these standards by having an archaeologist walk a transect down and back along the length of each corridor segment offset 7.5 m (25 feet) from the center line. Trimble and Garmin handheld global positioning system (GPS) units were used for spatial control, and the project area was photodocumented.

Cultural properties identified during any survey are evaluated in accordance with standards established by the California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) (OHP 1995), which in turn follow the NRHP standards defined by the National Park Service (National Park Service 1990).
Figure 2.A. Index map of GLO maps showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.B. GLO map (1876) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.C. GLO maps (1876 and 1857) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.D. GLO maps (1857 and 1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.E. GLO map (1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.F. GLO map (1857 and 1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.G. GLO map (1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.H. GLO map (1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.I. GLO map (1870) showing location of the project area.
Figure 2.J. GLO maps (1870) showing location of the project area.
These standards generally require a property to be at least 45 years old. The 45-year criterion accounts for a typical 5-year lag between the recording of a resource and the implementation of planning decisions (OHP 1995:2). In some circumstances, a property less than 45 years old may be recorded. For a property to be recorded as a historical resource, it must conform to one of the following resource categories:

**Building**: A building, such as a house, barn, church, hotel, or similar construction, is created principally to shelter any form of human activity. “Building” may also be used to refer to a historically and functionally related unit, such as a courthouse and jail or a house and barn.

**Structure**: The term “structure” is used to distinguish from buildings those functional constructions made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter.

**Object**: The term “object” is used to distinguish from buildings and structures those constructions that are primarily artistic in nature or are relatively small in scale and simply constructed. Although it may be, by nature or design, movable, an object is associated with a specific setting or environment.

**Site**: A site is the location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself possesses historic, cultural, or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structure.

**District**: A district possesses a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

A property that cannot be readily classified as one of the five NRHP types defined above may be recorded as a “minor resource” (OHP 1995:3). These resources will be referred to herein as *isolated occurrences* (IOs). However, if such a property is considered to be of particular interest for some other reason, it may also be recorded as a site. Examples of such IOs would include rare types of projectile points or isolated but significant historic features.

Cultural properties are further evaluated with regard to significance, which is assessed largely in terms of a property’s eligibility for inclusion on the NRHP. As defined by Code of Federal Regulations Title 36, Part 60.2 (36 CFR 60.2), the NRHP is “an authoritative guide to be used by Federal, State, and local governments, private groups and citizens to identify the Nation’s cultural resources and to indicate what properties should be considered for protection from destruction or impairment” (36 CFR 60.2).

Pursuant to 36 CFR 60.4, these are the criteria by which properties are evaluated:

The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and
A. That are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or

B. That are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or

C. That embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or

D. That have yielded or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history (National Park Service 2004).

The definition and evaluation of prehistoric and historic properties was furthermore guided by the 2014 CEQA guidelines and statutes (California Association of Environmental Professionals 2014). According to §21083.2:

(g) [a] “unique archaeological resource“ means an archaeological artifact, object, or site about which it can be clearly demonstrated that, without merely adding to the current body of knowledge, there is a high probability that it meets any of the following criteria:

(1) Contains information needed to answer important scientific research questions and that there is a demonstrable public interest in that information.
(2) Has a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type.
(3) Is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person.

(h) As used in this section, “nonunique archaeological resource” means an archaeological artifact, object, or site which does not meet the criteria in subdivision (g). A nonunique archaeological resource need be given no further consideration, other than the simple recording of its existence by the lead agency if it so elects.

SURVEY RESULTS

The entire project area was inspected for cultural remains. No prehistoric archaeological sites or IOs were observed during the survey. A portion of a historic waterway, the Happy Valley Ditch (previously recorded site CA-SHA-3382H), was recorded (see Appendix B; Appendix B has been removed from the client copy of this report, but all agency copies are intact). The Primary Record and Linear Feature Record forms for the Happy Valley Ditch were updated. The project area passes by the Igo Inn, a historic structure that originally housed fraternal groups (see Appendix B). Building Structure Record and Object Record forms were completed for the building. Locations of sites and isolated occurrences can be seen in Appendix C, Figures C.1–C.9.
The project area also passes along the northeastern edge of CA-SHA-3373H, the Landfill Mining Complex site. The majority of this site is located on the other side of Dry Creek, but the site boundary was apparently extended to include the Happy Valley Ditch, which is a site in its own right, during a 2002 survey (Vaughan 2002b). No other indications of CA-SHA-3373H were observed within the project area (Photo 9).

Cloverdale Cemetery was also noted as an important cultural landmark in proximity to the project area. The project area footprint lies outside the cemetery; however, the cemetery is close to the utility corridor, and its presence suggests a potential for buried remains.

In addition to the ditch, building, and cemetery, several IOs dating to the historic era were recorded. Except for site CA-SHA-3373H, which is adjacent to but not within the project corridor, each of the foregoing properties are described below, beginning with the IOs.

**Isolated Occurrences**

Ten IOs were observed (Table C.1; Figure C.1). Each is historic in age. More than half (n=6) of the IOs consist of isolated features. These include a culvert (IO 1; Photo 10); a concrete “box” (IO 3; Photo 11); concrete pipes (IOs 4 and 5), one of which is inscribed “1942” (IO 4; Photo 12); a historic benchmark (IO 9; Photo 13); and a concrete structure the function of which is unknown but probably related to water management (IO 10; Photo 14). Isolated artifacts include a glass and white earthenware scatter (IO 2) and several metal cans (IOs 6–8).

Photo 9. View towards site CA-SHA-3373H. View is to the south.
Photo 10. IO 1. Aggregate concrete/metal culvert.

Photo 11. IO 3. Concrete “box.”
Photo 12. IO 4. Concrete pipe with 1942 inscription.

*Happy Valley Ditch*

The Happy Valley Ditch (CA-SHA-3382H) begins in Igo and extends to the community of Olinda, Shasta County, California (see Figures C.2–C.4). The feature was inspected within Shasta County right-of-way along Cloverdale, Palm, Olive and Happy Valley Roads (Photos 15–17). The portion of the ditch within the project area is earthen, except at one location where the ditch passes under Cloverdale Road via a culvert (Photos 18 and 19).

The Happy Valley Ditch, also known as the Happy Valley Irrigation Canal, runs parallel to and crosses Cloverdale Road. It extends from Igo to Olinda and includes concrete culverts at each of the locations where it crosses roads. Near Cloverdale, the ditch branches off into a number of arterials located primarily on private lands. The ditch is generally U-shaped, with a depth of approximately 0.9–1.2 m (3–4 feet), measured from the top of the earthen embankments that are located to either side of the ditch, and a width of 0.6–0.9 (2–3 feet). The ditch is probably part of and extending from the Dry Creek Tunnel and Fluming Company’s Hardscrabble Mine ditch which also served the mining community of Piety Hill from 1853 to 1880 (Moravec 1997).

To date, an official NRHP designation for the Happy Valley Ditch as a whole does not appear to have been made. However, records obtained from the California OHP for the Class I records check indicated that a portion of the ditch has been previously recommended as an ineligible contributing segment. Although the Primary Site Record was not available, this assessment presumably was made during a survey for a proposed fuel break on Bureau of Land Management lands (Ritter 2000). Tierra similarly recommends that the portion examined as part of this survey is an ineligible contributing segment.
Photo 15. Happy Valley Ditch, along Cloverdale Road. View is to the northwest.

Photo 16. Happy Valley Ditch, crossing Cloverdale Road. View is to the north.
Photo 17. Happy Valley Ditch, crossing Cloverdale Road. View is to the south.

Photo 18. Concrete-lined portion of Happy Valley Ditch.
Igo Inn (Independent Order of Odd Fellows Welcome Lodge No. 209)

This building, now known as the Igo Inn, was formerly the Independent Order of Odd Fellows (I.O.O.F.) Welcome Lodge No. 209, located in Igo, California. The building consists of a two-story meeting hall constructed, or possibly moved from Piety Hill, in 1885, with a single-story dance hall addition at the rear of the building. The addition was constructed in the 1920s, while the building was still in use as a fraternal meeting hall. The building was restored beginning in 1992, and a front porch was added to the structure sometime after 2002 (Photos 20 and 21), based on photos found at the Shasta Historical Society. The building was additionally constructed as a meeting hall for both the I.O.O.F. and a Masonic body, presumably Clinton Lodge No. 119, which is known to have operated in Piety Hill in 1871 and earlier (Grand Lodge of California 1871:722), although another source (Southwest Shasta Historical Group 2010) gives a conflicting date of 1877, when the lodge was moved to Piety Hill from Horsetown. Clinton Lodge is listed in the 1910 edition of the Proceedings of the Grand Lodge of California as located in Igo, indicating it had moved by that time (Grand Lodge of California 1910:264), although it is unclear if the building itself was moved (in 1885) from Piety Hill or if just the lodge as an organization moved. The Masons left the building in 1935 and the building was eventually deemed unsafe for public use until remodeling efforts in the 1990s (Walsh 1999). The building consists of wooden, horizontal sidings on top of a coursed stone foundation (Photo 22).
Photo 20. Igo Inn, front. View is to the southwest.

Photo 21. Igo Inn, southeastern side. View is to the north-northwest.
Cloverdale Cemetery
It was noted that the project area passes near the Cloverdale Cemetery, located along the west side of Oak Street. The project area does not encroach directly upon the cemetery; however, the proposed fiber-optic line footprint passes very close to its boundary. Land for the cemetery (three acres for $40.00 in gold coin) was purchased in 1887, and became an “official” cemetery in 1892 (Morevec 1997). The cemetery remains in use today. Because it is possible that buried remains could extend beyond the present-day boundaries of the cemetery, it has been noted here to recommend the implementation of either a reroute of the project area or monitoring in the vicinity during the construction work (see Conclusions and Recommendations below).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Tierra’s Class III survey of 24.6 linear km (15.3 linear miles) of buried fiber-optic telecommunications line corridor recorded 10 IOs dating to the historic period. No new or previously recorded prehistoric sites or IOs were encountered. A portion of one previously recorded historic site, the Happy Valley Ditch (CA-SHA-3382H), was re-recorded. Tierra recommends that the portion of the ditch examined as part of this survey is an NRHP-ineligible contributing segment of the site. The historic Cloverdale Cemetery and the Igo Inn building are located close to the proposed fiber-optic line footprint, but not directly impinged upon by it. It is assumed that if the proposed line crosses the Happy Valley Ditch at any point, the line will be routed beneath the ditch via directional boring. For the Igo Inn and the Cloverdale Cemetery, TDS has concurred that rerouting the line to the opposite side of the road, thereby avoiding these properties, is the most effective management strategy. All three of these properties have been in continuous service or
occupation since their inception and are regularly maintained. The proposed project is not expected to adversely impact any of the properties (see Table 3).

The IOs are considered to be “nonunique” archaeological resources as defined by CEQA §15064.5(e)(4) and §21083.2(h). According to these statutes, a “nonunique archaeological resource need be given no further consideration” and “the effects of the project on those resources shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. It shall be sufficient that both the resource and the effect on it are noted in the Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report, if one is prepared to address impacts on other resources, but they need not be considered further in the CEQA process” (California Association of Environmental Professionals 2014:35, 134). As such, the documentation of the IOs is considered complete.

Because the Happy Valley Ditch and the Igo Inn are not expected to be impacted by construction assuming that the line will be rerouted at these locations, and because it is unlikely that the IOs can yield any additional information beyond that recorded during the survey, Tierra recommends that the proposed undertaking be allowed to proceed. There will be No Adverse Effect to these 12 cultural resources as a result of project activity (see Table 8 for a summary of management recommendations). However, it is recommended that the proposed fiber-optic line be rerouted to the southeast side of South Fork Road, with a 50 feet buffer on either side of the building, to allow for adequate avoidance of the Igo Inn. In addition, a reroute of the proposed buried fiber-optic line to the eastern side of Oak Street, also with a 50 feet buffer on either side of the cemetery, is recommended during construction work in the vicinity of the Cloverdale Cemetery. If rerouting is not possible, monitoring by a qualified archaeologist is recommended. Although human remains may not be present outside the cemetery boundary, monitoring will ensure proper treatment of these remains if they exist.

Table 3. Management Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property/Site Designation</th>
<th>Eligible</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Recommended Treatment</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happy Valley Ditch (CA-SHA-3382H)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>avoidance, if necessary, by subsurface boring</td>
<td>no adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloverdale Cemetery</td>
<td>not assessed</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>avoidance by reroute</td>
<td>no adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igo Inn</td>
<td>not assessed</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>avoidance by reroute</td>
<td>no adverse effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clients and all subcontractors are reminded that if human remains or funerary objects are uncovered during future ground-disturbing activities, California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute 15064.5(e) requires that all work must be stopped in the area of discovery and that the coroner of the County in which the remains are discovered be contacted to determine that no investigation into the cause of death is required. If the coroner determines the remains to be Native American, notification will be sent to the Native American Heritage Commission, which will identify the person or persons it believes to be the most likely descendents of the deceased Native American. The most likely descendent may make recommendations to the landowner or the person responsible for the excavation work as to the means of treating or disposing of the human remains and any associated grave goods with appropriate dignity, as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.
REFERENCES

Barnes, James

Billat, Lorna

Brown, Melissa
2011  Cultural Resources Study for Hawthorne Avenue Widening Project 02-SHA-0-RH. ENPLAN, Redding, California.

Burcell, Julie
1996  Cultural Resource Reconnaissance for the Clear Creek Pasture West Project, Shasta County, California. Publishing information not available.

Clark, William B.

Crawford, Kristina
2012  Culvert Repair and Replacement Project: Historical Resources Investigation, Shasta County, California. North State Resources, Redding, California.

Dalu, Chris
2006  Cultural Resources Inventory Survey, Historical Overview, and Evaluation For Proposed North Fork Ranch Development Project, 3,645 Acres East of Happy Valley and West of Anderson, Shasta County California. ENPLAN, Redding, California.

Dotta, James
1980  Cultural Reconnaissance of the Proposed Happy Valley Road Extension, Shasta County, California. ARK II Anthropological Resource Management, Redding, California.

Grand Lodge of California
1871  Proceedings of the M.W. Grand Lodge of Free and Accepted Masons of the State of California. Frank Eastman, Printer, San Francisco.


Harrington, Lori
Jensen, Peter M.
1982 *Archaeological Reconnaissance of the Proposed Happy Valley Road Improvement Project, Shasta County, California.* Jensen & Associates, LLC, Chico.


1991 *Archaeological Inventory Survey of the Proposed Clement Ranchetts Subdivision, 37.70ac., Near Olinda, Shasta County, California.* Jensen & Associates, LLC, Chico.

1993a *Archaeological Inventory Survey: Proposed Magaldi Subdivision, c. 25 Acres at Olinda Adjacent to the North Side of Palm Avenue, Shasta County, California.* Jensen & Associates, LLC, Chico.

1993b *Archaeological Inventory Survey of the Braund Subdivision, c.13.98 acres Near Olinda and Happy Valley, Shasta County, California.* Jensen & Associates, LLC, Chico.

1996 *Archaeological Inventory Survey: Clear Creek Community Services Constructed Features, near Anderson, Shasta County, California.* Jensen & Associates, LLC, Chico.


Jensen, Sean M.

2006 *Archaeological Survey, ca. 80-Acre Ritchie Parcel Split Project, Shasta County, California.* Genesis Society, Paradise, California.

2007 *Archaeological Survey, ca. 105-Acre Manly Residential Development Project, Shasta County, California.* Genesis Society, Paradise, California.

Meyer, Jack
2013 *A Geoarchaeological Overview and Assessment of Northeast California; Cultural Resources Inventory of Caltrans District 2 Rural Conventional Highways: Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity Counties.* Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis, California.

Moravec, F.W. “Bud”

North State Resources
2005 *Archaeological Reconnaissance Report for the Cloverdale Road Project, Shasta County, California.* North State Resources, Redding, California.
Office of Historic Preservation  

Ritter, Eric  


2003  *Archaeological Inventory of the Proposed Park Apiaries Location near Igo, California.* Publishing information not available.

Southwest Shasta Historical Group  


Vaughan, Trudy  
2002a  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Gutierrez Parcel Split (APN 206-120-035), 16391 Scout Avenue, Anderson, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2002b  *Archaeological Reconnaissance of Shasta County’s West Central Landfill on Clear Creek Road, Near Igo, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2003  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Happy Valley Safe Routes to School Project, in the Olinda Area of Anderson, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2005a  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Workman Parcel Split at 5370 Hopekay Lane (Parcel Map 04-058), Anderson, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2005b  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Grandell Parcel Split at 5300 Happy Valley Road (APN 206-220-003; Parcel Map 04-054), Anderson, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.
2006a  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Ray Parcel Split (APN 205-190-035) In the Happy Valley Area, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2006b  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Pair Parcel Split (APN 205-510-017) in the Happy Valley Area, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2008  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for Proposed Additional Annexation of Lands By Clear Creek Community Services District Northeast of Happy Valley Road and Gas Point Road, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2010  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for the Proposed Pair Parcel Split at 16002 Setting Sun Drive, north of Cloverdale in the Happy Valley Area, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

2011  *Archaeological Reconnaissance for a Parcel Split by Duprey Investments (APN 206-280-027), in Olinda, Shasta County, California.* Coyote & Fox Enterprises, Redding, California.

Walsh, Madge Richardson

Wiant, Wayne, and Tiffany Tuttle
2006  *Cultural Resources Inventory Survey for Igo/Ono School Proposed Waterline Project, 1.15 Linear Miles South of Igo, Shasta County, California.* ENPLAN, Redding, California.
APPENDIX A

Class I Research

CONFIDENTIAL

This appendix contains information on the locations of cultural properties discussed in the report:

A Class III Cultural Resource Survey for a Proposed Buried Telecommunications Fiber-Optic Line in Happy Valley, Shasta County, California
APPENDIX B

California Office of Historic Preservation Forms

CONFIDENTIAL

This appendix contains information on the locations of cultural properties discussed in the report:

*A Class III Cultural Resource Survey for a Proposed Buried Telecommunications Fiber-Optic Line in Happy Valley, Shasta County, California*
APPENDIX C

Results

CONFIDENTIAL

This appendix contains information on the locations of cultural properties discussed in the report:

*A Class III Cultural Resource Survey for a Proposed Buried Telecommunications Fiber-Optic Line in Happy Valley, Shasta County, California*
Figure C.1. Index map for maps of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.2. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.3. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.4. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.5. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.6. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.7. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.8. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
Figure C.9. Location of archaeological sites and IOs identified within the project area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IO No.</th>
<th>UTM (Zone 11; NAD 83)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4475094  550277</td>
<td>metal and aggregate concrete culvert</td>
<td>possibly part of an old irrigation system; not in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4475273  550267</td>
<td>white earthenware/glass scatter</td>
<td>on west side of Happy Valley Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4478249  550677</td>
<td>concrete box, 4 feet × 4 feet × 9 inches</td>
<td>on south side of Palm Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4478102  547855</td>
<td>concrete pipe with 1942 date, 3 feet high/30 inches diameter</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4481720  546254</td>
<td>concrete pipe, 32 inches high/27 inches diameter</td>
<td>on east side of China Gulch Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4483661  539941</td>
<td>2 beverage cans with locked seams</td>
<td>on south side of Cloverdale Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4483411  540094</td>
<td>hole-in-top can, 3½ × 2½ inches</td>
<td>on south side of Cloverdale Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4481517  541663</td>
<td>2 beverage cans, 4½ × 2½ inches</td>
<td>on south side of Cloverdale Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4480468  544837</td>
<td>U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey benchmark, dated 1949</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4478529  546793</td>
<td>concrete structure, possibly related to an irrigation ditch; only a small portion in situ</td>
<td>located along Scout Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
December 11, 2014

Joseph Howell, Barbara Montgomery
Tierra Right of Way Service, Ltd.
1575 E. River Road, Suite 201
Tucson, Arizona 85718

Sent by Fax: (520) 323-3328
Number of Pages: 3

Re: TDS CASF Happy Valley Project, Shasta County.

Dear Mr. Howell, and Ms. Montgomery,

A record search of the sacred land file has failed to indicate the presence of Native American cultural resources in the immediate project area. The absence of specific site information in the sacred lands file does not indicate the absence of cultural resources in any project area. Other sources of cultural resources should also be contacted for information regarding known and recorded sites.

Enclosed is a list of Native Americans individuals/organizations who may have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area. The Commission makes no recommendation or preference of a single individual, or group over another. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact within the proposed project area. I suggest you contact all of those indicated, if they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge. By contacting all those listed, your organization will be better able to respond to claims of failure to consult with the appropriate tribe or group. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from any of these individuals or groups, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our lists contain current information. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (916) 373-3712.

Sincerely,

Katy Sanchez
Associate Government Program Analyst
Native American Contacts
Shasta County
December 10, 2014

Pit River Tribe of California
Dolores Raglin, Chairperson
36970 Park Ave
Burney, CA 96013
(530) 335-5421
(530) 335-3140 Fax

Wintu Educational and Cultural Council
Robert Burns
P.O. Box 483
Hayfork, CA 96041
(530) 628-5930

Redding Rancheria
Tracy Edwards, Chief Executive Officer
2000 Redding Rancheria
Redding, CA 96001
(530) 225-8979
(530) 241-1879 Fax

Pit River Tribe Historical Preservation Office
Morning Star Gali
36970 Park Ave
Burney, CA 96013
(530) 335-5421x-1205

Wintu Tribe of Northern California
Kelli Hayward
P.O. Box 995
Shasta Lake, CA 96019

Winnebago Wintu Tribe
Caleen Sisk-Franco, Tribal Chair
14840 Bear Mountain Road
Redding, CA 96003
winnemewintutribe@gmail.com

Nor-Rel-Muk Nation
Marilyn Delgado, Chairperson
P.O. Box 1967
Weaverville, CA 96093
norermuk@com-pair.net
(530) 623-4940
(877) 534-3109 Fax

Redding Rancheria
Jason Hart, Chairperson
2000 Redding Rancheria
Redding, CA 96001
(530) 225-8979
(530) 241-1879 Fax

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of the statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7060.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 8997.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting locative Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed TDS CASF Happy Valley Project, Shasta County.
Native American Contacts
Shasta County
December 10, 2014

Pit River Tribe of California
Alexis Barry, Tribal Administrator
36970 Park Ave       Pit River
Burney , CA 96013  Achumawi - Atsugewi
(530) 335-5421  Wintun
(530) 335-3140 Fax

Matthew Root
16117 North St.       Wintun
Keswick , CA 96001
530-247-7351

United Tribe of Northern Calif., Inc., Wintu, Wintun, Wintoon
Gloria Gomes, Chairperson
20059 Parocast       Wintu
Redding , CA 96003  Wintun
shastapinenut@yahoo.com  Wintoon
(530) 275-1915

Loretta Root
5820 Kofford Lane       Wintu
Redding , CA 96001

United Tribe of Northern Calif., Inc., Wintu, Wintun, Wintoon
John Castro, Cultural Liaison
20059 Parocast       Wintu
Redding , CA 96003  Wintun
shastapinenut@yahoo.com  Wintoon
(530) 275-1915

Redding Rancheria Cultural Resources
James Hayward Sr., Cultural Resources Program
2000 Redding Rancheria       Wintu
Redding , CA 96001  Pit River
jamesh@redding-rancheria.com  Yana
(530) 242-4543
(530) 410-2873 Cell
(530) 241-1879 Fax

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of the statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7060.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.96 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting locative Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed TDS CASP Happy Valley Project, Shasta County.